Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 81 (1974) 177–185 © Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne – Printed in The Netherlands

## MÖSSBAUER AND RELATED STUDIES OF SOME DIVINYLTIN(IV) COMPLEXES\*

## DATTA V. NAIK and COLUMBA CURRAN

Department of Chemistry and Radiation Laboratory \*\*, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556 (U.S.A.)

(Received November 26th, 1973; in revised form June 18th, 1974)

#### Summary

Mossbauer parameters are reported for twenty-five divinyltin(IV) compounds. Electric moments of some of these compounds indicate greater overall electron withdrawal from tin by vinyl than by phenyl groups. A comparison of isomer shifts of octahedral divinyl- and diphenyl-tin compounds suggests some  ${}^{+}C_{6}H_{5}=Sn^{-}$ double bond character. Quadrupole splittings of these pairs of compounds reveal similar configurations except for (bis) picolinates and dialkyldithiocarbamates, in which the phenyl groups are *cis* and the vinyl groups *trans*. Infrared absorption in the C–Sn stretching region reveals different patterns for *cis* and *trans* compounds.

## Introduction

Mossbauer studies of divinyltin(IV) compounds are of interest in comparing the inductive effects of phenyl and vinyl groups and the relative C=Sn double bond character in these two sets of compounds, and in determining the arrangement of carbon—tin bonds, *cis* or *trans*, in octahedral complexes. A previous study has indicated *trans* alkyl and vinyl and *cis* phenyl groups in  $R_2Sn$ (picolinate)<sub>2</sub> complexes [1]. Complexes investigated in the present study include chlorides, isothiocyanates, and those with bidentate anionic ligands. Electric dipole moments of some of these compounds in benzene solution have also been determined.

Abstracted from a portion of the Ph.D. thesis of D.V.N., University of Notre Dame, 1972.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The Radiation Laboratory is operated by the University of Notre Dame under contract with the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, This is AEC document No, COO-38-864.

## Experimental

Divinyltin chloride was donated by M & T Chemicals, Inc. Anhydrous tin(IV) chloride, pyridine and potassium thiocyanate were Baker analyzed reagents. Tetramethylammonium chloride and bromide were obtained from Matheson, Coleman and Bell. Pyridine N-oxide, tropolone, sodium dimethyldithiocarbamate and the sodium salt of 2-pyridinethiol-*N*-oxide were Aldrich Chemical Co. products. Dimethyl sulfoxide and sodium diethyldithiocarbamate were obtained from Fisher Scientific Co. Chloranilic acid and hexamethylphosphoric triamide were supplied by Eastman Organic Chemicals. The sources of other reagents have been indicated previously [2].

ł

Sodium salts of tropolone and chloranilic acid were prepared by mixing methanol solutions of each ligand and sodium methylate in a 1/1 mole ratio and evaporating to dryness. Divinylbis(tropolonato)tin(IV) was prepared by adding a methanol solution of the dichloride to a boiling methanol solution of sodium tropolonate in a 1/2 ratio. The product separated as yellow crystals on stirring, concentrating and cooling in ice. It was crystallized from hot methanol. Divinyl-(chloranilato)tin(IV) was prepared by adding 1/1 a methanol solution of divinyltin dichloride to a suspension of the sodium salt of chloranilic acid in hot methanol. After stirring for 2 h the product precipitated as a blue-black powder. Divinylbis(dialkyldithiocarbamato)tin(IV) and divinylbis(2-thiopyridine -N-oxide)tin(IV) were prepared by reacting divinyltin dichloride with the sodium salt of the respective ligands in ethanol in a 1/2 ratio. The white precipitates were recrystallized from the same solvent.

Dinvinyldisothiocyanatotin(IV) was prepared by the method of Seyferth and Stone [3], using a 3/1 mixture of benzene and acetone as a solvent for recrystallization. The complexes of this compound with monodentate ligands were obtained by mixing ethanol solutions in a 1/2 ratio. The white precipitates were recrystallized from ethanol. Complexes of divingitin dichloride with these ligands were obtained in a similar manner; anhydrous ether was used as a solvent in the preparation of the complex with dimethylsulfoxide. Only the 1/1 complex of the dichloride with tetramethylthiourea was obtained, even when excess of the latter compound was used. Complexes of the dichloride and diisothiocyanate with 2,2'-dipyridyl and 1,10-phenanthroline were prepared by the method of Tanaka et al. [4], and the complexes with 2,2',2''-terpyridyl were obtained as indicated previously [2]. Divinyldichlorobis(aquo)tin(IV) was obtained by spreading divinyltin dichloride in a thin layer on a flat petridish open to moist air. White crystals began to form in fifteen min. This complex reverts to the anhydrous compound in dry air.  $[(C_2H_3)_4N]_2[(C_2H_3)_2SnCl_4]$  precipitated immediately on mixing ethanol solutions of divinyltin dichloride and tetramethylammonium chloride in a 1/2 ratio.  $[(C_2H_5)_4N]_2[R_2Sn(NCS)_4]$ , where  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{n}$ -butyl, phenyl and vinyl, were obtained immediately as white precipitates when ethanol solutions of  $R_2Sn(NCS)$ , and  $[(C_2H_3)_2N](NCS)$  were mixed in a 1/2 ratio.  $[(C_2H_5)_4N](NCS)$  was prepared by mixing ethanol solutions of tetraethylammonium bromide and potassium thiocyanate in a 1/1 ratio. Divinyltin(IV oxide was prepared by the method of Rosenberg and Gibbons [5].



Fig. 1. Mossbauer spectrum of Vin2SnCl2 - tetramethylthiourea.

### Infrared and Mossbauer spectra

These were obtained as indicated previously [2]. Mossbauer measurements were made with the barium stannate source at room temperature and the absorbers; at 80 K. The spectrometer was calibrated with iron foil obtained from the National Bureau of Standards. The isomer shifts relative to barium stannate are considered to be accurate to  $\pm$  0.03 mm s<sup>-1</sup> with a relative precision of  $\pm$  0.02 mm s<sup>-1</sup>. The Mossbauer spectrum of divinyltin dichloride  $\cdot$  tetramethylthiourea is illustrated in Fig. 1.

#### Dielectric constants of benzene solutions

These were obtained with a W.T.W. Dipolmeter, Model DM 01. obtained from Kahl Scientific Instruments Corp. The cell used was a pipette type Kahlisco Model DFL/2D. The dipole moments were evaluated from the Higasi equation [6, 7],  $\mu = 0.8481 (\Delta \epsilon / x)^{1/2}$ . The latter ratio, the change in dielectric constant with solute mole fraction, was a constant for each set of solutions studied.

## **Results and discussion**

The value, 4.37 Debyes, of the electric dipole moment of di-n-butyltin dichloride (Table 1) is the same as that obtained previously with a different instrument in this laboratory [8]. The smaller moment, 4.06 D, for the diphenyl compound reveals very little, if any,  ${}^{+}C_6H_s=Sn^-$  double bond character, and the value 3.83 D for the divinyl compound indicates the greatest electron withdrawal by the vinyl group in these tetrahedral compounds. These moments are somewhat samller than those reported by Huang, Hui and Chui [9], but are in the same order.

A comparison of the relative electron withdrawal by vinyl and phenyl groups in octahedral compounds is afforded by the moments of the complexes of  $(C_2H_3)_2Sn(NCS)_2$  and  $(C_6H_5)_2Sn(NCS)_2$  with *o*-phenanthroline and  $\alpha, \alpha$ -di-

#### TABLE 1

ELECTRIC DIPOLE MOMENTS (µ) IN DEBYE UNITS IN BENZENE SOLUTIONS AT 25°C

	10 <sup>4</sup> x	Δε/x	μ = 0.05
n-Bu <sub>2</sub> SnCl <sub>2</sub>	3.8 - 21.7	26.7	4.37
PhoSnClo	28-17.8	22.9	4.06
VinoSnClo	8.0 - 26.1	20 4	3.83
Pb2Sn(NCS)2 · o phen			$6 = 0.5^{\alpha}$
Vin <sub>2</sub> Sn(NCS) <sub>2</sub> · o-phen	0.9 - 4,5	90.7	8.08
PhoSn(NCS), dipy	1.5 - 3 8	68.5	7 04
Vin <sub>2</sub> Sn(NCS) <sub>2</sub> · dipy	1.7 - 4.5	93.8	8.22

a Ref 10

pyridyl. Mössbauer data indicate (see below) *cis* C—Sn—C bonds in these four compounds. The magnitude of the dipole moments of these complexes in benzene solution indicates *trans* NCS groups [10]. The resultants of the Sn—C dipoles in these complexes are approximately in line with the overall moments

 $s_n \xrightarrow{r}$ . The larger moments obtained for the divinyl complexes (Table 1)

suggest a greater electron withdrawal by vinyl than by phenyl groups in octahedral tin(IV) complexes.

Isomer shifts of octahedral diorganotin compounds are expected to increase with increasing tin share of the electrons of the C-Sn-C bonds and with increasing tin s-character for these bonds, and to decrease with increasing C=Sn double bond character involving the 5d orbitals of tin, because of increased shielding. As the tin s-character depends on the C-Sn-C bond angle, comparison of isomer shifts of diphenyl and divinyl compounds in terms of relative electron withdrawal (or release) are meaningful only if the C-Sn-C bond angles in the two sets of compounds are approximately equal. This equality is expected for trans  $R_2 Sn X_4^{2-}$  anions, with a C-Sn-C bond angle close to 180°. Both the isomer shifts and quadrupole splittings for these anions are expected to increase with increasing tin share of the C–Sn  $\sigma$ -bonding electrons. The isomer shift for  $[Et_4N]_2$  [Vin<sub>2</sub>Sn(NCS)<sub>4</sub>] (compound V, Table 2) is about equal to that of the corresponding diphenyl compound VI, and the quadrupole splitting is slightly larger, 3.94 compared to  $3.82 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$ . The majority of the octahedral divinyl compounds listed in Table 2 which have quadrupole splittings similar to the corresponding diphenyl compounds (suggesting similar configurations) have slightly larger isomer shifts than the diphenyl compounds and the great majority of pairs have differences in isomer shifts less than  $\pm$  0.06 mm s<sup>-1</sup>. This similar tin s-electron density, despite the evidence from dipole moments of greater overall electron withdrawal by the vinyl groups, suggests some  ${}^{+}C_{6}H_{5} = Sn^{-}$ double bond character in the octahedral diphenyltin compounds\*.

180

A referee has suggested that the difference in isomer shifts may be associated with a difference in tin s-character of the tin-carbon bonds in the divinyl and diphenyl compounds.

The difference in isomer shifts for the  $Et_2SnCl_4^{2-}$  and  $Vin_2SnCl_4^{2-}$  anions,  $_{11}^{21}$  II and III, and for the Bu<sub>2</sub>Sn(NCS)<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and Vin<sub>2</sub>Sn(NCS)<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> anions, IV and V, for which the quadrupole splittings indicate a *trans* configuration, is 0.3 mm s<sup>-1</sup>, the same as the average difference in  $\delta$  for a number of pairs of *trans* octahedral dialkyl and diphenyl tin(IV) complexes. The isomer shift for the Vin<sub>2</sub>SnCl<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> anion is 0.29 mm s<sup>-1</sup> larger than for the corresponding tetraisothiocyanate anion. The decrease in  $\delta$  from dichloro to diisothiocyanate octahedral tin(IV) compounds has been noted previously [10], and is evident from the values for corresponding pairs of these compounds listed in Table 2.

Dimethyldiisothiocyanatotin(IV) has been shown to have a distorted octahedral sulfur-bridged polymeric structure with a C-Sn-C angle of 146° [11, 12]. The values of  $\delta$  and  $\Delta E_{\Omega}$  for this compound are 1.48 and 3.87 mm s<sup>-1</sup>  $\begin{bmatrix} 13 \end{bmatrix}$ . The corresponding dibutyl, diphenyl and divinyl compounds are also expected to be polymeric and this is indicated for the first two compounds by infrared and Mössbauer data [10]. A polymeric structure for solid divinyldiisothiocyanatotin(IV) is indicated by NCS absorption at 2108 cm<sup>-1</sup> and by strong Mössbauer absorption at room temperature. The large quadrupole splitting, 4.28 mm s<sup>-1</sup>, and the relatively large isomer shift, 1.52 mm s<sup>-1</sup>, indicate a significantly larger C-Sn-C bond angle than that found for the dimethyl compound. NCS absorption shifts to 2055 cm<sup>-1</sup> in ethanol, indicate a breaking of the sulfur bridges in solution.

fhe large quadrupole splittings obtained for all Vin<sub>2</sub>SnCl<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub> and Vin<sub>2</sub>Sn- $(NCS)_2L_2$  complexes (Table 2), reveal a *trans* arrangement of vinyl groups. The fact that quadrupole splittings obtained for the isothiocyanates are larger than those for the corresponding chlorides is consistent with a decreased electron

density for tin in the  $s_n$  plane. The isomer shift for  $Vin_2Sn(NCS)_2 \cdot 2TMTU$ ,

1.26 mm  $s^{-1}$ , is appreciably larger than the values for complexes XVIII and XIX, which have ligands with oxygen donors. Infrared absorption associated with the N-C-N antisymmetric stretching vibration occurs at 1579 cm<sup>-1</sup> for Vin<sub>2</sub>SnCl<sub>2</sub> · TMTU in a KBr disk and in 1,2-dichloroethane solution, compared to 1550  $cm^{-1}$  (the usual value for 1/2 complexes) for Vin<sub>2</sub>Sn(NCS)<sub>2</sub>(TMTU)<sub>2</sub> in KBr. The  $\Delta E_0$  value for the dichloride, 2.82 mm s<sup>-1</sup>, suggests a coordination number of five for tin in this compound.

The relatively small quadrupole splittings for Vin<sub>2</sub>Sn(NCS)<sub>2</sub> · o-phen and (Vin), Sn(NCS),  $\cdot$  dipy, 2.63 and 2.24 mm s<sup>-1</sup>, indicate a *cis* arrangement of carbon-tin bonds. This cis configuration is also indicated for divinylbis(tropolonate)tin(IV) and divinylbis(2-pyridinethiolato 1-oxide)tin(IV) by the quadrupole splittings, 1.93 and 1.78 mm s<sup>-1</sup>. The four corresponding diphenyltin(IV) complexes also have a *cis* configuration, whereas quadrupole splittings reveal a trans configuration for all dibutyltin(IV) complexes with the above ligands. The isomer shifts for the cis complexes are 0.6 mm s<sup>-1</sup> lower than the values for the corresponding trans dibutyl compounds [14, 15]. This large difference has been attributed to the decrease in tin s-character with decrease in the C-Sn-C bond angle [1].

Previous work by the authors has indicated a trans arrangement of C-Sn-C

181

(continued on p. 184)

CHARACTERIZATION, MÖSSBAUER PARAMI	ETERS (mm :	- <sup>1</sup> ) AT 80 K	RELATIVE TO I	ανν <sub>έ</sub> ους	Sn-C STRE	CHING FREC	UENCIES	
	Analysis for (calcd.)	(اللہ) pur	M.p. (`C)	-7	ΔËQ	۲(Sn-C reg) (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	(uo	
	U	H						
Vin <sub>2</sub> SnCl <sub>2</sub> (1) <sup>d</sup>				1.45	3.34	480(br)	613	667
(Me4N)2(Et2SnCl4) (II) <sup>d</sup>				1.6.1	3.99			
(Me4N)2(Vin2SnCl4) (111)	30.9	6 60	>290	1.38	3.90		512	5.10
	(1.15)	(6.18)						
(Et4N) <sub>2</sub> (Bu <sub>2</sub> Sn(NCS) <sub>4</sub> ) (IV)	45.6	8.10	18.3—185	1.42	4.35			
	(46.3)	(B.00)						
(Et4N)2(Vin2Sn(NCS)4 (V)	42.6	6.66	127—129 é	1.09	3.94		614	561
	(43.3)	(6.92)						
(Et4N)2(Ph2Sn(NCS)4) (VI)	50.1	6.66	239-241	1.06	3.82			
-	(50.2)	(6.5.1)						
Me <sub>2</sub> Sn(NCS) <sub>2</sub> (VII) <sup>U</sup>				1.48	3.87			
n-Bu <sub>2</sub> Sn(NCS) <sub>2</sub> (VIII) <sup>c</sup>				1 55	3.90			
Vin <sub>2</sub> Sn(NCS) <sub>2</sub> (IX)	25.0	2.21	141-145	1.52	4.28		512	562
	(21.9)	(2.03)						
Ph <sub>2</sub> Sn(NCS) <sub>2</sub> (X) <sup>c</sup>				1.46	3.96			
Vin2SnCl2(pyridine)2 (XI)	42.2	4.24		124	3.63		516	534
	(41.8)	(3.98)						545(sh)
Vin <sub>2</sub> SnCl <sub>2</sub> (pyO) <sub>2</sub> (XII)	38.7	3.80	121-123	1 25	3.78		513	650
	(38.7)	(3.69)						
VIn <sub>2</sub> SnCl <sub>2</sub> (DMSO) <sub>2</sub> (XIII)	23.4	4.45	83–84ر	1.25	3.87	510(sh)	516	649
	(24.0)	(4.50)						
Vin <sub>2</sub> SnCl <sub>2</sub> (HMPA) <sub>2</sub> (XIV)	32.0	7.27	101-103 <sup>c</sup>	1.20	4.00	(dz)703	517	669
	(31.9)	(86.98)						
Vin <sub>2</sub> SnCl <sub>2</sub> · 2H <sub>2</sub> O (XV)		12.00	42	1.34	3.46		619	649
		(11.77)						
Vin <sub>2</sub> SnCl <sub>2</sub> · TMTU (XVI)	28.9	4.87	91–95 <sub>C</sub>	1.31	2.82		609	540
	(28.7)	(4.78)						
Vin2Sn(NCS)2(pyridine)2 (XVII)	42.8	3.73	68-83	1.13	3.81		504	651
	(13.0)	(3.58)						
Vin <sub>2</sub> Sn(NCS) <sub>2</sub> (pyO) <sub>2</sub> (XVIII)	39.8	3.50	120-122	1.16	3.90		617	558
	(40.1)	(3.3.1)						
Vin <sub>2</sub> Sn(NCS) <sub>2</sub> (HMPA) <sub>2</sub> (XIX)	33.1	6.39	140150	1.12	4.20	502	519	563
	(33.4)	(0 50)						

-

182

TABLE 2

Vin <sub>2</sub> Sn(NCS) <sub>2</sub> (TMTU) <sub>2</sub> (XX)	36.0	5.68	98-100	1.26	3.68		518	550
	(34.7)	(5.43)						
Vin <sub>2</sub> SnCl <sub>2</sub> • o-phen (XXI)	45.9	3.56	248-250	1.28	3.73	500(sh)	520	547
	(45.3)	(3.30)						
Vin <sub>2</sub> SnCl <sub>2</sub> • dipy (XXII)	41.7	3.78	205 - 207	1.27	3.76	507	612	548
	(42.0)	(3.50)						
Vin <sub>2</sub> Sn(NCS) <sub>2</sub> • o-phen (XXIII)	46.1	3.14	178-180	0.84	2.63	(1l2)4()4	503	618(sh)
	(1.91)	(3.00)						
Vin <sub>2</sub> Sn(NCS) <sub>2</sub> • dipy (XXIV)	12.5	319	174-176	0.77	2.24	497	501	518(sh)
1	(43.2)	(3.15)						
Vin <sub>2</sub> Sn(NCS) <sub>2</sub> · terpy (XXV)	18.4	3.50	180-191	1.17	3 89		510	667
	(48.3)	(3.26)						
(Vin <sub>2</sub> SnNCS · terpy) <sup>*</sup> (BPh <sub>4</sub> ) <sup>*</sup> (XXVI)	67 2	4 80	229 - 231	1.22	3.73		500	555
	(67.4)	(4 73)					510(sh)	
Vin <sub>2</sub> Sn(picolinate) <sub>2</sub> (XXVII) <sup>d</sup>				1.18	4.02	600	515	546
Vin <sub>2</sub> Sn(tropolonate)2 (XXVIII)	51.9	3.76	163-155	0.75	1 03	498(sh)	515(br)	
	(52.1)	(3.86)						
VIn <sub>2</sub> Sn(2-Spy0) <sub>2</sub> (XXIX)	39.8	3.17	157—159 <sup>t<sup>2</sup></sup>	0.97	1.78	494	<b>Ե</b> ՍՑ(sh)	
	(39.5)	(3 30)						
Vin2Sn(SSCNMe2)2 (XXX)	29.3	4.30	167-169	1.35	2.74	504	510	5-10
	(29.1)	(4 36)						
Vin <sub>2</sub> Sn(SSCNEt <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (XXXI)	36.1	5.71	107108	1.36	2.68	. 600	511	534
	(35.8)	(6554)						
Vin <sub>2</sub> SnO (XXXII)	25.5	3 30	>200	06.0	2.11		511	544
	(25.4)	(3.17)						
Vin <sub>2</sub> Sn(chloranilate) (XXXIII)	31.8	182	>200	1.33	3.96	weak abso	rptions	
	(31.6)	(158)						

 $<sup>^</sup>a$  Ref. 24.  $^b$  Ref. 13.  $^c$  Ref. 10.  $^d$  Ref. 1  $^c$  Mclts without decomposition.

•

bonds in Vin<sub>2</sub>Sn(picolinate)<sub>2</sub>, with  $\delta$  and  $\Delta E_Q$  values of 1.18 and 4.02 mm s<sup>-1</sup>, and a *cis* arrangement for the corresponding diphenyl compound, with values of 0.80 and 1.94 mm s<sup>-1</sup>. Vin<sub>2</sub>Sn(SSCNMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (XXX) has an isomer shift of 1.35 and a quadrupole splitting of 2.74 mm s<sup>-1</sup>, compared to values of 1.07 and 1.64 for the diphenyl compound [16], indicating distorted *trans* and *cis* configuratior [17] respectively for the complexes with this anisobidentate [18] ligand. The above comparisons appear to rule out steric and unsaturation factors as causes for differences in configurations of diorganotin(IV) complexes. We are unaware of any satisfactory explanation for these differences.

The large quadrupole splitting, 3.96 mm s<sup>-1</sup>, for divinyl(chloranilato)tin(IVindicates a *trans* arrangement of vinyl groups, similar to that indicated for the dialkyl and diphenyl compounds, with planar polymer chains. The relatively large isomer shift, 1.33 mm s<sup>-1</sup>, suggests a C—Sn—C bond angle close to 180°. The quadrupole splitting for [Vin<sub>2</sub>Sn(NCS) · terpyridyl]<sup>+</sup>[BPh<sub>4</sub>]<sup>-</sup>, 3.73 mm s<sup>-1</sup>, indicates *trans* C—Sn—C bonds, as in the diphenyl compound [2]. Axial C—Sn—Q bonds, as in the corresponding dialkyl and diphenyl compounds [2], are indicated by the value of the quadrupole splitting for Vin<sub>2</sub>Sn(NCS)<sub>2</sub> · terpyridyl, in which tin is seven-coordinate. A significant deviation from 180° for this C—Sn—C bond angle is indicated by the isomer shift and quadrupole splitting, 1.17 and 3.89 mr compared to 1.29 and 4.18 mm s<sup>-1</sup> for the diphenyl compound. The C—Sn—C bond angle in Me<sub>2</sub>Sn(NCS)<sub>2</sub> · terpyridyl, with  $\delta$  and  $\Delta E_Q$  values 1.32 and 4.29 mm s<sup>-1</sup> [2], is 173.7° [19].

Reichle [20] has interpreted a number of physical properties of dibutyltin oxide and diphenyltin oxide as indicating that these compounds are polymeric. The values of  $\delta$  and  $\Delta E_Q$  for divinyltin oxide, 0.90 and 2.11 mm s<sup>-1</sup>, compare with 1.04 and 2.09 mm s<sup>-1</sup> for di-n-butyltin oxide [21] and 0.89 and 2.00 mm s<sup>-1</sup> for diphenyltin oxide [22]. None of these compounds shows appreciable Mössbauer absorption at room temperature.

# Infrared spectra

Peruzzo and coworkers [23] have assigned absorptions in the 480-580  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  region in the spectrum of trivinyltin(IV) acetates to Sn-C stretching vibrations.



Fig. 2. Infrared spectra in the C-Sn-C stretching region. (A) Vin<sub>2</sub>SnCl<sub>2</sub>(pyndine N-oxide)<sub>2</sub> (B)Vin<sub>2</sub>Sn(NCS)<sub>2</sub> · dipyridyl.

Absorptions in this region for the divinyltin(IV) complexes are listed in Table 2. It is evident that all *cis* compounds absorb at lower frequencies than *trans*. The absorption patterns are illustrated in Fig. 2. The frequencies of absorptions in this region offer a means of distinguishing between *cis* and *trans* configurations for divinyltin(IV) complexes.

#### Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to M & T Chemicals, Inc. for the gift of a generous sample of divinyltin dichloride.

### References

- 1 D.V. Naik and C. Curran, Inorg. Chem., 10 (1571) 1017.
- 2 J.C. May and C. Curran, J. Organometal Chem., 39 (1972) 289.
- 3 D. Seyferth and F.G.A. Stone, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 79 (1957) 515
- 4 T. Tanaka, M. Komura, Y. Kawasaki and R. Okawara, J. Organometal, Chem., 1 (1964) 484.
- 5 S.D. Rosenberg and A.J. Gibbons, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 79 (1957) 2138.
- 6 K. Higasi, Bull. Inst. Phys. Chem. Res. (Tokvo), 22 (1943) 805.
- 7 E.G. Claeys, G.P. Van der Kelen and Z. Eeckhaut, Bull. Soc. Chim Belg., 70 (1961) 462.
- 8 M.A. Mullins and C. Curran, Inorg. Chem., 6 (1967) 2017.
- 9 H.H. Huang, K.M. Hui and K.K. Chiu, J. Organometal. Chem., 11 (1968) 515.
- 10 M.A. Mullins and C. Curran, Inorg. Chem., 7 (1968) 2584.
- 11 Y.M. Chow, Inorg. Chem., 9 (1970) 794.
- 12 R.A. Forder and G.M. Sheldrick, J. Organometal. Chem., 22 (1970) 611.
- 13 B. Gassenheimer and R.H. Herber, Inorg. Chem., 8 (1969) 1120.
- 14 D.V. Naik, J.C. May and C. Curran, J. Coord. Chem., 2 (1973) 309.
- 15 D. Petridis, F.P. Mullins and C. Curran, Inorg. Chem., 9 (1970) 1270.
- 16 J.C. May, D. Petridis and C. Curran, Inorg. Chun. Acta, 5 (1971) 511.
- 17 B.W. Fitzsimmons, A.A. Owusu, N.J. Seely and A.W. Smith, J. Chem. Soc. A. (1970) 935.
- 18 J.L.K.F. de Vries and R.H. Herber, Inorg. Chem., 11 (1972) 3458.
- 19 D.V. Naik and W.R. Scheidt, Inorg. Chem., 12 (1973) 272.
- 20 W.T. Reichle, J. Polym. Sci., 49 (1961) 521.
- 21 R.V. Parish and R.H. Platt, J. Chem. Soc. A, (1969) 2145.
- 22 H.A. Stockler and H. Sano, J. Polym. Sci. B, 7 (1969) 67.
- 23 V. Peruzzo, P. Gualtiero and G. Tagliavini, J. Organometal. Chem., 24 (1970) 347.
- 24 R.V. Parish and R.H. Platt, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 4 (1970) 65.